

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM L. WRIGHT,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHANNON GARRIGAN, et al.,

Defendant.

1:25-cv-00093-GSA (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, because it appears that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise

1 to this claim occurred in the Northern District of California, plaintiff's claim should have been  
2 filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of  
3 justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district.  
4 See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United  
6 States District Court for the Northern District of California.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: January 22, 2025

/s/ Gary S. Austin  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE